

HUNTING THE CKM WEAK PHASE WITH TIME-INTEGRATED DALITZ ANALYSES OF $B_s \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ DECAYS

M. Ciuchini,¹ M. Pierini,² and L. Silvestrini³

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tre and INFN,*

Sezione di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Roma, Italy

²*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA*

³*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza" and INFN,*

Sezione di Roma, P.le A. Moro 2, I-00185 Rome, Italy

We present a new technique to extract information on the Unitarity Triangle from the study of $B_s \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ Dalitz plot. Using isospin symmetry and the possibility to access the decay amplitudes from Dalitz analyses, we propose a new strategy to extract the weak phase γ from $B_s \rightarrow K\pi\pi$.

The present knowledge of the parameters of CP violating and CP conserving quantities in B and K decays confirms the role of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix as the source of CP violation in the Standard Model (SM). The impressive agreement of the available experimental information in constraining the parameters of the CKM matrix [1, 2] already reduces the allowed parameter space of New Physics (NP) contributions to B_d and K decays [3]. Nevertheless, because of the scarce information available on B_s physics, it is still conceivable to observe large deviations from the SM in the study of B_s decays [4].

In this letter, we present a new method to access the CKM phase in B_s decays, based on a new strategy recently proposed for $B_d \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^0\pi^+\pi^0$ decays [5]. The study of $B_s \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ is more powerful since it will directly measure the weak phase γ with negligible theoretical uncertainties and requires the use of isospin symmetry only. In this case, in fact, the effect of Electroweak Penguins (EWP's) is marginal, as we will illustrate in the following.

We stress that the proposed measurements only rely on time integrated experimental analyses. Therefore our proposal is relevant not only for hadron collider experiments, but also for the present and future B -factories. Indeed, after Belle clearly proved the possibility of running a B -factory at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance [6], measurements of B_s decays can be envisaged both at present B -factories, as the last part of their physics program, and at a third-generation high-luminosity linear B -factory [7].

Let us first illustrate our idea for the case in which we neglect EWP contributions. To this aim, we write the amplitudes of $B_s \rightarrow K^*\pi$ decays using isospin symmetry, in terms of Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) complex parameters [8], obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} A(B_s \rightarrow K^{*-}\pi^+) &= V_{tb}^*V_{td}P_1 - V_{ub}^*V_{ud}(E_1 - P_1^{\text{GIM}}) \\ \sqrt{2}A(B_s \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0}\pi^0) &= -V_{tb}^*V_{td}P_1 - V_{ub}^*V_{ud}(E_2 + P_1^{\text{GIM}}) \end{aligned}$$

where P_1^{GIM} represent (GIM-suppressed) penguin contributions, and E_1 (E_2) the connected (disconnected) emission topologies. $\bar{B}_s$ decay amplitudes are simply

obtained by conjugating the CKM factors V_{ij} . Similar expressions hold for higher K^* resonances.

Considering the two combinations of amplitudes

$$\begin{aligned} A_s^{K^*\pi} &= A(B_s \rightarrow K^{*-}\pi^+) + \sqrt{2}A(B_s \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0}\pi^0) \\ &= -V_{ub}^*V_{ud}(E_1 + E_2), \\ \bar{A}_s^{K^*\pi} &= A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-) + \sqrt{2}A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow K^{*0}\pi^0) \\ &= -V_{ub}V_{ud}^*(E_1 + E_2), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

the ratio

$$R_d = \frac{\bar{A}_s^{K^*\pi}}{A_s^{K^*\pi}} = \frac{V_{ub}V_{ud}^*}{V_{ub}^*V_{ud}} = e^{-2i\gamma} \quad (2)$$

provides a clean determination of the weak phase γ . Since the final states are self-tagging, the phase of the B_s - $\bar{B}_s$ mixing amplitude does not enter our analysis.

The $A_s^{K^*\pi}$ amplitudes can be experimentally determined looking at the decay chains $B_s \rightarrow K^{*-}(\rightarrow K^-\pi^0)\pi^+$ and $B_s \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0}(\rightarrow K^-\pi^+)\pi^0$ in the $B_s \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ Dalitz plot. Similarly, $\bar{A}_s^{K^*\pi}$ can be extracted from the $K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Dalitz plot. However, one has to fix the phase difference between $A(K^{*-}\pi^+)$ and $A(K^{*+}\pi^-)$.

This information can be provided by the $K_s\pi^+\pi^-$ Dalitz plot, considering the decay chain $B_s \rightarrow K^{*-}(\rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^-)\pi^+$ and the CP conjugate $\bar{B}_s \rightarrow K^{*+}(\rightarrow K^0\pi^+)\pi^-$. These two decay channels do not interfere directly on the Dalitz plot, but they both interfere with the decays $B_s, \bar{B}_s \rightarrow \rho^0(\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)K_s$, $B_s, \bar{B}_s \rightarrow f^0(\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)K_s$ and with all other $\pi^+\pi^-$ resonant and possibly non-resonant intermediate states. Therefore the Dalitz analysis of $B_s, \bar{B}_s \rightarrow K_s\pi^+\pi^-$ should include these states. In more details, one needs to measure at least four amplitudes: $A(B_s \rightarrow K^{*-}\pi^+)$, $A(B_s \rightarrow \rho^0K_s)$, $A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-)$, and $A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow \rho^0K_s)$. The interference between $A(B_s \rightarrow K^{*-}\pi^+)$ and $A(B_s \rightarrow \rho^0K_s)$ fixes the relative phase of these two amplitudes. The same applies to $A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-)$ and $A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow \rho^0K_s)$. The only missing ingredient to be determined is the relative phase between $A(B_s \rightarrow \rho^0K_s)$ and $A(\bar{B}_s \rightarrow \rho^0K_s)$. The extraction of this phase depends on the experimental environment. In the untagged case, the cosine of the phase

difference can be extracted from time-integrated measurements through the interference term proportional to $y = \Delta\Gamma_s/2\Gamma_s$ [9, 10]. In the correlated case at the $\Upsilon(5s)$, more information is accessible through a tagged time-integrated analysis, provided positive and negative time differences between the tag and decay sides can be distinguished. Taking the difference of positive and negative time-integrated rates, one can extract also the sine of the phase difference through the interference term proportional to $x/(1+x^2) \sim \Gamma_s/\Delta m_s$.

As discussed for the case of B_d decays [5], one should consider the inclusion of EWP's. In the case of R_d , the effect of EWP's is very small since the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{em})$ suppression is not compensated by any Cabibbo enhancement, so that eq. (2) remains valid with an uncertainty of $\sim 3\%$. Let us now illustrate this error estimate. The dominant EWP's (*i.e.* left-handed EWP operators) can be eliminated at the operator level. Following the notation and derivation in Ref. [5], we can write the effective Hamiltonian for $b \rightarrow d$ transitions as

$$H_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ V_{ub}^* V_{ud} (1 + \kappa_{\text{EW}}) \left[C_+ (Q_+^{duu} - Q_+^{dcc}) + \frac{1 - \kappa_{\text{EW}}}{1 + \kappa_{\text{EW}}} C_- (Q_-^{duu} - Q_-^{dcc}) \right] - V_{tb}^* V_{td} H^{\Delta I=1/2} \right\} \quad (3)$$

with

$$\kappa_{\text{EW}} \equiv -\frac{3}{2} \frac{C_+^{\text{EW}}}{C_+} \frac{V_{tb}^* V_{td}}{V_{ub}^* V_{ud}}, \quad (4)$$

where C_+^{EW} are defined in Ref. [5]. The correction term κ_{EW} can be neglected. For example, using the values $C_+(m_b) = 0.877$, $C_+^{\text{EW}}(m_b) = -1.017 \alpha_{em}$ and $\bar{\rho} = 0.216$, $\bar{\eta} = 0.342$ [1], we obtain $\kappa_{\text{EW}} = (0.4 + 2.8i) \times 10^{-2}$, so that, as we anticipated, EWP's are negligible in R_d [11].

Let us finally comment on the sensitivity to NP of our analysis. R_d is unaffected by any NP entering at the loop level (barring order-of-magnitude enhancements of EWP's) so that it is on the same footing as other measurements of gamma from pure tree decays.

We have presented a new method to constrain the Unitarity Triangle, starting from $B_s \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ decays, using the amplitude ratio R_d defined in Eq. (2). The method determines the weak phase γ , up to a negligibly small correction coming from EWP operators. In addition, the ratio R_d is unaffected by NP entering at the loop level.

Since these measurements do not require time-dependent studies, they offer the possibility of accessing the CKM phase γ both at hadron colliders and at high-luminosity B -factories.

This work has been supported in part by the EU networks “The quest for unification” under the contract MRTN-CT-2004-503369 and “FLAVIANet” under the contract MRTN-CT-2006-035482.

Note added. During the revision of this paper, Ref. [10] appeared where our results were confirmed and further arguments were given to control EWP effects.

-
- [1] M. Bona *et al.* [UTfit Collaboration], JHEP **0507**, 028 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501199]; M. Bona *et al.* [UTfit Collaboration], JHEP **0610**, 081 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0606167].
 - [2] J. Charles *et al.* [CKMfitter Group], Eur. Phys. J. C **41**, 1 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0406184]; J. Charles, *In the Proceedings of 4th Flavor Physics and CP Violation Conference (FPCP 2006), Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 9-12 Apr 2006, pp 043* [arXiv:hep-ph/0606046].
 - [3] M. Bona *et al.* [UTfit Collaboration], JHEP **0603**, 080 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0509219]; M. Bona *et al.* [UTfit Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 151803 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605213].
 - [4] M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, A. Masiero and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 075016 (2003) [Erratum-ibid. D **68**, 079901 (2003)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0212397]; L. Silvestrini, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 1738 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0510077]; M. Ciuchini and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 021803 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0603114].
 - [5] M. Ciuchini, M. Pierini and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 051301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601233].
 - [6] A. Drutskoy, arXiv:hep-ex/0605110.
 - [7] J. Albert *et al.*, arXiv:physics/0512235.
 - [8] A. J. Buras and L. Silvestrini, Nucl. Phys. B **569**, 3 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9812392].
 - [9] I. I. Y. Bigi and A. I. Sanda, Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 85 (1981).
 - [10] M. Gronau, D. Pirjol, A. Soni and J. Zupan, arXiv:hep-ph/0608243.
 - [11] As discussed in Ref. [10], the $\Delta I = 3/2$ matrix element of Q_- vanishes in the exact isospin symmetric limit allowing for an exact treatment of the EWP's. However the uncertainty associated to isospin breaking is of the same order of our estimate.